I N D E X

Of

WITNESSES

nse' Witnesses	Page
	20941
FURUYAMA, Katsuo Direct by Mr. Cunningham	20941
MORNING RECESS	20942
Cross by Judge Nyi	20946
NOON RECESS	2096

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def.	Pros.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
1006	2505		Affidavit of FURUYAMA, Katsuo		20943
38	2506		Ordinance for the Promotion of Inter- national Amity, date 10 June 1935	đ	20965
1087	2507	,	The Address of Mr. Hach ARDTA, Minister for Foreign Affairs at t 69th Session of the Imperial Diet 6 May	he	20967
213	2508		Part of the Address of Prime Minister and F Minister Mr. Senjuro HAYASHI bearing on t Government's Foreign Policy at the 70th S of the Diet 15 Febru 1937	he ession	20979
			AFTERNOON RECESS		20996
414	2509		New Year Statement of Foreign Minister ARITA in January 193		21008
952	2510	6:	Report on Japanese Goo Registration Act, An Japanese Slogans, et from a Charge d'Affa	ti- c.	21023

Monday, 28 April 1947

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
FOR THE FAR EAST
Court House of the Tribunal
War Ministry Building
Tokyo, Japan

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930.

Appearances:

For the Tribunal, same as before.

For the Prosecution Section, same as before.

For the Defense Section, same as before.

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except TOGO and HIRANUMA, who the prison surgeon of Sugamo certifies are unable to attend the trial today. They are represented by counsel. The certificate will be recorded and filed.

DR. KANZAKI: Counsel KANZAKI.

With respect to the defense documents tendered to the Court on Friday there were among them originals in English and I should like to make an explanation on this matter.

Foreign Office documents and papers were originally prepared in Japanese. It was, however, customary for the Foreign Office to prepare these also in English and French whenever they were made for release -- outside release. The Foreign Office prepared these documents and releases in Japanese, French and English in order to avoid any translation errors with respect to those documents which were telegraphed or communicated to points outside of Japan and especially for announcement in the foreign press.

The reason why the defense submitted the English original into evidence at this Tribunal is because the Japanese documents had been lost. Because

only the English texts remained in the files of the Foreign Office the Foreign Office made certificates only for the English texts and did not issue certificates with regard to Japanese documents. They do not issue certificates for Japanese documents; that is to say, the Foreign Office issued certificates only for documents in the English language because only documents in the English were in the files of the Foreign Office.

THE FRESIDENT: We have heard enough. The fact remains they are self-serving statements in the nature of propaganda or at all events were made for publication to other countries. We told you we would not allow you to prove the phases in that way by such statements. In proving the phases you are proving the facts of the particular case -- phase; but individual accused may have their defense on those matters so far as they are relevant and material to such a defense, that is to say, the defense of honest and reasonable but mistaken belief, as to the facts, of course, not as to the law.

Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: Nay it please the Tribunal, before my friend, Mr. Cunningham, proceeds with the reading from the Lytton Report it is desired

only the English texts remained in the files of the Foreign Office the Foreign Office made certificates only for the English texts and did not issue certificates with regard to Japanese documents. They do not issue certificates for Japanese documents; that is to say, the Foreign Office issued certificates only for documents in the English language because only documents in the English language were in the files of the Foreign Office.

THE PRESIDENT. We have heard enough. The fact remains they are self-serving statements in the nature of propaganda or at all events were made for publication to other countries. We told you we would not allow you to prove the phases in that way by such statements. In proving the phases you are proving the facts of the particular case—phase; but individual accused may base their defense on those matters so far as they are relevant and material to such a defense, that is to say, the defense have honest and reasonable but mistaken belief, as to the facts, of course, not as to the law.

Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, before my friend, Mr. Cunningham, proceeds with the reading from the Lytton Report it is desired

5 6 7

only the English texts remained in the files of the Foreign Office the Foreign Office made certificates only for the English texts and did not issue certificates with regard to Japanese documents. They do not issue certificates for Japanese documents; that is to say, the Foreign Office issued certificates only for documents in the English language because only documents in the English language were in the files of the Foreign Office.

THE PRESIDENT. We have heard enough. The fact remains they are self-serving statements in the nature of propaganda or at all events were made for publication to other countries. We told you we would not allow you to prove the phases in that way by such statements. In proving the phases you are proving the facts of the particular case—phase; but individual accused may base their defense on those matters so far as they are relevant and material to such a defense, that is to say, the defense have honest and reasonable but mistaken belief, as to the facts, of course, not as to the law.

Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, before my friend, Mr. Cunningham, proceeds with the reading from the Lytton Report it is desired

7 8

to object to the introduction into evidence of the material from the report with regard to the Chinese boycott which is now being read.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. President, it seems that we have been heard on this matter -- that this matter has been heard before.

THE PRESIDENT: I do not know. Do let
Brigadier Quilliam finish. We may agree with you,
Mr. Cunningham, but we have not heard what Brigadier
Quilliam is going to say yet.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this point has not been argued before the Tribunal and it is respectfully requested that in view of the importance of this objection to many other matters of evidence proposed to be introduced the questions involved should be argued fully at this stage.

THE PRESIDENT: We will not allow you to reopen the decision on these particular documents already
admitted, Brigadier. If similar documents are tendered
later perhaps you will be able to put the points which
you would not be justified in putting on documents
already admitted --which you would not be allowed to
put on documents already admitted.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal,

.

Report of the material with regard to the Chinese boycott. The Lytton Report is, of course, as a whole in evidence but, in our submission, as any evidence relating to the Chinese boycott is irrelevant no further reading from the report on the boycott question should be permitted.

the objection is to the reading from the Lytton

THE PRESIDENT: If we have not admitted the evidence we can always consider any objection you overlooked previously but what is the new objection?

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: The defense, if it please your Honor, is attempting to introduce by this reading evidence with regard to the Chinese boycott. In our submission any evidence relating to that subject, whether it is contained in an exhibit already in evidence or not, is quite irrelevant to the issues involved in this case. In our submission such evidence would be irrelevant even if it be assumed in favor of the defense that the evidence proves the following:

- (a) That there was, in fact, a Chinese boycott against Japan;
- (b) That the Chinese Government was responsible for such boycott; and
 - (c) That as the result of such boycott

Japanese interests were injured and the security of Japanese nationals endangered.

It needs scarcely be mentioned perhaps
that the prosecution does not admit that this assumption is justified by the evidence. The assumption
is made merely for the purposes of this submission.
It is submitted that the matters included in the
assumption cannot be held to justify Japan's actions
and therefore evidence of such matters should be
excluded as being irrelevant. Japan was a party to
the Nine-Power Treaty and to the Pact of Paris.

argue to any great length on that. We are all clear that you cannot justify an attack on another country because the other country decides not to trade with you unless perhaps that trade is vital to your very existence. We must leave that open for the time being; but I understood that Mr. Cunningham did allege that this boycott if it existed or took place was an infringement of some treaty with Japan. The documents that you have just referred to did not destroy treaty rights.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: If it please the Tribunal, my argument is based on the provisions of the Nine-Power Treaty and it is our submission that as Japan in 1937

broke that treaty this point about boycott is no longer admissible. The argument, if it please your Honor, raises a point which has not been dealt with before.

By Article 7, I am reading from exhibit
No. 63 at page 5, it is provided as follows: "The
contracting powers agree that whenever a situation
arises which in the opinion of any one of them involves the application of the stipulations of the
present treaty and renders desirable discussion of
such application there should be full and frank communication between the contracting powers concerned."

May I remind the Tribunal that by the Pact of Paris the parties condemned recourse to war for the solution of international controversies and renounced it as an instrument of national policy in their relations with one another.

As shown by post exhibit 58, prosecution document 219-H, the Assembly of the League of Nations on the 6th of August, 1937, adopted a report made by a subcommittee dealing with the conflict then in progress in China. The conclusions of the subcommittee are contained in Section IV of the report and are as follows:

"It is clear that the two countries take very different views as to the underlying grounds of the dispute and as to the incident which led to the first outbreak of hostilities.

"It cannot, however, be challenged that powerful Japanese armies have invaded Chinese territory and are in military control of large areas, including Peiping itself; that the Japanese Government has taken naval measures to close the coast of China to Chinese shipping; and that Japanese aircraft are carrying out bombardments over widely separated regions of the country.

"After examination of the facts laid before it, the Committee is bound to take the view that the military operations carried on by Japan against China by land, sea and air are out of all proportion to the incident that occasioned the conflict; that such action cannot possibly facilitate or promote the

friendly cooperation between the two nations that
Japanese statesmen have affirmed to be the aim of
their policy; that it can be justified neither on
the basis of existing legal instruments nor on that
of the right of self-defense, and that it is in
contravention of Japan's obligations under the
Nine-power Treaty of February 6th, 1922, and under
the Pact of Paris of August 27th, 1928."

THE PRESIDENT: Are we bound by those conclusions of fact? Clearly, we are not.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: If it please your Honor, it is not our submission that the Tribunal is bound by those conclusions, but it is respectfully submitted that they are entitled to very serious consideration in considering the question that I am raising.

I should like to refer to a second report of the subcormittee, which was adopted on the same day by the Assembly. This is also included in part exhibit 58. After recommending the consultation among the states concerned should be initiated by the Assembly, the report contains in paragraph 13 the following further recommendations:

"Pending the results of the action proposed, the Advisory Committee should invite the Assembly to

express its moral support for China and to recommend that Members of the League should refrain from taking any action which might have the effect of weakening China's power of resistance and thus of increasing her difficulties in the present conflict, and should also consider how far they can individually extend aid to China."

As is shown by exhibit 63, the Report of the Conference of Brussels held in November, 1937, a conference was held to consider these questions.

THE PRESIDENT: The question of the admissibility of these documents should be determined without reference to the views of the League of Nations, Brigadier. They may prove to be irrelevant. This evidence which Mr. Cunningham is putting before us may prove to be irrelevant or immaterial, but, to say the least, we have a doubt about it, and in those circumstances we should admit it.

ERIGADIER QUILLIAM: As we submit, your Honor, the Tribunal should hold at this stage that Japan by its actions in China and by its refusal to resort to methods of conciliation was guilty of a breach of the Nine-Power Treaty and also the Pact of Paris.

THE PRESIDENT: You will get no decision on

an issue from us until the end of the trial, Brigadier. We will give you decisions on evidence; we must; but no decisions on issues even if it tends to shorten the trial to do that. It would be most unsatisfactory, most unusual, and wholly unjustifiable. This is an attempt to shorten the trial by getting pieceneal decisions from us on issues, and the attempt fails.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: If it please the Tribunal, in view of what your Honor has said I certainly will not press the matter.

THE PRESIDENT: Am I under misapprehension as to your attitude, Brigadier? If I am, correct me.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: With the greatest respect to your Honor, I think your Honor is under a misapprehension.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I may be, but if your argument is confined solely to the question of admissibility of evidence I must hear you fully.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please your Honor, in our submission this is a question of the admissibility of evidence. In our submission, if the Tribunal will now consider the point that is being raised it is not determining a substantial issue in the case; it is determining a matter upon

which the ruling may properly be given as to the admissibility of evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: "ell, it does appear to me and to at least another, if I may say so, astute Member of the Court, that you are really saying to us in effect: Take these conclusions of the League of Nations; eliminate this issue; reduce the evidence accordingly.

2-1

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: If it please your Honor,
I am anxious not to press this matter unreasonably,
but if pur view is right it is possible and, indeed,
it is necessary to consider it in order to determine
whether this evidence that is now being used should be
submitted at all. In short, if it please your Honor,
we object to this evidence as irrelevant and we support
our contention by endeavoring to show that Japan
committed a breach of these treaties and is, therefore,
precluded from relying on such matters as boycott in
support of her action.

THE PRESIDENT: Now you have made your position perfectly clear. You say to us, "Hold that Japan committed a breach of the treaties." We cannot at this stage do that. Overwhelming though the evidence might be of such a breach, in a criminal trial you cannot make a finding until you reach a verdict.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: Might I just add this point, if it please your Honor. Treating the question of whether there was a breach of the treaties as still undecided, the boycott evidence can throw no light on that question.

THE PRESIDENT: Now you are getting away from your new point. You may be getting on to another new point.

ERIGADIER QUILLIAM: In my submission, if it please your Honor, it comes within the compass of my first point but I certainly do not intend to press the matter in view of your Honor's attitude toward it.

THE PRESIDENT: The Court's attitude. BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: I beg your Honor's pardon. I should have said the attitude of the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection overruled.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: If it please the Tribunal, I continue the reading of the Lytton Report on page 117 of the Report. We left off at the word "eventualities."

"A powerful meens of enforcing the boycott is the compulsory registration of Japanese goods held in stock by Chinese merchants. Inspectors of the anti-Japanese societies watch the movement of Japanese goods, examine those of doubtful origin in order to ascertain whether or not they are Japanese, undertake raids on stores and godowns where they suspect the presence of non-registered Japanese goods, and bring to the attention of their principals any case of the violation of the rules they may discover. Merchants who are found to be guilty of such a breach of the rules are fined by the Boycott Associations themselves,

4

6

5

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

and publicly exposed to popular disapproval, while the goods in their possession are confiscated and sold at public suction, the proceeds going into the funds of the anti-Japanese organisation.

"The boycott is not limited to trade alone. Chinese are warned not to travel on Japanese ships, to use Japanese banks or to serve Japanese in any capacity, either in business or in domestic service. Those who disregard these instructions are subjected to various forms of disapproval and intimidation.

"Another feature of this boycott, as of previous ones, is the wish not only to injure Japanese
industries, but to further Chinese industries by stimulating the production of certain articles which have
hitherto been imported from Japan. The principal result
has been an extension of the Chinese textile industry
at the expense of Japanese-owned mills in the Shanghai
area.

"The boycott of 1931, organised on the lines just described, continued until about December of that year, when a certain relaxation became apparent. In January 1932, in the course of the negotiations then proceeding between the Mayor of Greater Shanghai and the Japanese Consul-General in that city, the Chinese even undertook to dissolve voluntarily the local

anti-Japanese association.

"During the hostilities in Shanghai, and the months immediately following the evacuation of the Japanese troops, the boycott, although never completely abandoned, was moderated, and during late spring and early summer it even looked as if Japanese trade in different parts of the country might resume. Then, quite suddenly, at the end of July and beginning of August, coinciding with the reported military activity on the borders of Jehol, there was a marked revival of the boycott movement. Articles urging the people not to buy Japanese goods appeared anew in the Chinese Press, the Shanghai Chamber of Commerce published a letter suggesting a resumption of the boycott, and the Coal Merchants' Guild in the same city decided to restrict to the minimum the importation of Japanese coal. At the same time, more violent methods were employed, such as the throwing of a bomb into the compound of a coal dealer suspected of having handled Japanese coal, and the sending of letters to storekeepers threatening to destroy their property unless they stopped selling Japanese commodities. Some of the letters reproduced in the newspapers were signed the 'Blood-and-Iron Group' -- or the 'Blood-and-Soul Group for the Punishment of Traitors.'

2

1

4

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

"Such is the situation at the time of writing this Report. This recrudescence of the boycott activity caused the Japanese Consul-General in Shanghai to lodge a formal protest with the local authorities.

"The various boycott movements, and the present one in particular, have seriously affected Sino-Japanese relations, both in a material and in a psychological sense.

"As far as the material effects are concerned -that is, the loss of trade -- the Chinese have a tendency
to under-state them in their desire to present the boycott as rather a moral protest than as an act of
economic injury, while the Jananese attach too absolute
a value to certain trade statistics. The arguments
used in this connection by the two parties are examined
in the annexed study already referred to. In that study,
also, will be found full particulars of the extent of
the damage done to Japanese trade, which has certainly
been considerable.

"Another aspect of the subject should also be mentioned. The Chinese themselves suffer losses from goods already paid for, not registered with the Boycott Associations, and seized for public auction; from fines paid to the associations for violation of the boycott rules; from revenue not received by the Chinese

Maritime Customs, and, generally speaking, from loss of trade. These losses are considerable.

"Psychological effect on Sino-Japanese relations.

"The psychological effect of the boycott on Sino-Japanese relations, although even more difficult to estimate than the material effect, is certainly not less serious, in that it has had a disastrous repercussion on the feelings of large sections of Japanese public opinion towards China. During the visit of the Commission to Japan, both the Tokyo and the Osaka Chambers of Commerce stressed this subject.

injuries against which she cannot protect herself has exasperated Japanese public opinion. The merchants whom we interviewed at Osaka were inclined to exaggerate certain abuses of boycott methods as racketerring and blackmailing, and to under-estimate or even to deny completely the close relationship between Japan's recent policy towards China and the use of the boycott as a defensive weapon against that policy. On the contrary, instead of regarding the boycott as China's weapon of defence, these Japanese merchants insisted that it was an act of aggression against which the Japanese military measures were a retaliation. Anyway,

there is no doubt that the boycott has been amongst the causes which have profoundly embittered the relations between China and Japan in recent years.

3

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Controversial issues in connection with the boycott: (1) Whether the movement is spontaneous or erganised.

"There are three controversial issues involved in the policy and methods of the boycott.

"The first is the questions whether the movement is purely spontaneous, as the Chinese themselves claim, or whether, as the Japanese allege, it is an organised movement imposed uron the people by the Kuomintang by methods which at times amount to terrorism. On this subject much may be said on both sides. On the one hand, it would enperr to the impossible for a nation to exhibit the degree of co-operation and sacrifice involved in the maintenance of a boycott over a wide area and for a long period if there did not exist a foundation of strong popular feeling. On the other hand, it has been clearly shown to what extent the Kuomintang, using the mentality and the methods which the Chinese people have inherited from their old guilds and secret societies, has taken control of the recent boycotts, and particularly of the present one. The rules, the discipline, and the sanction used

part of the present boycott, show that, however sponteneous, the movement is certainly strongly organised.

"All popular movements require some measure of organisation to be effective. The loyalty of all adherents to a common eause is never uniformly strong and discipline is required to enforce unity of purpose and action. Our conclusion is, that the Chinese boycotts are both popular and organised; that, though they originate in and are supported by strong national sentiment, they are controlled and directed by organisations which can start or call them off, and that they are enforced by methods which certainly amount to intimidation. While many separate bodies are involved in the organisation, the main controlling authority is the Kuomintang.

12:

"(2) Legality or otherwise of boycott methods."

"The second issue is whether or not, in the conduct of the boycott movement, the methods employed have always been legal. From the evidence collected by the Commission, it is difficult to draw any other conclusion than that illegal acts have been constantly committed, and that they have not been sufficiently suppressed by the authorities and the courts. The fact that these methods are mainly the same as those

used in Chine in olden days may be an explanation, but not a justification. When in former days a guild elected to declare a boycott, searched the houses of suspected members, brought them before the Guild Court, punished them for a breach of rules, im-osed fines and sold the goods seized, it seted in conformity with the customs of that time. Moreover, it was an internal effeir of a Chinese community, and no foreigner was involved. The present situation is different. China has adopted a code of modern laws, and these are incompatible with the traditional methods of trade boycotts in China. The memorandum in which the Chinese Assessor has defended his country's point of view with regard to the boycott does not contest this statement, but argues that 'the boycott ... is pursued, generally specking, in a legitimate manner'. The evidence at the disposel of the Commission does not beer out this contention.

"In this connection, a distinction whould be made between the Illegal acts committed directly against foreign residents in case Japanese, and those committed against Chinese with the avowed intention, however, of causing damage to Japanese interests. As far as the former are concerned, they are clearly not only illegal under the laws of Chines but also incompatible

23

24

25

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

with treaty obligations to protect life and property and to maintain liberty of trade, residence, movement and action. This is not contested by the Chinese, and the boycott associations, as well as the Kuomintang authorities, have tried, although they may not always have been uscessful, to prevent offences of this kind. As already stated, they have occurred less frequently during the present boydott than on previous occasions."

And then, let us go down to the footnote:

"According to recent Japanese information,
there were thirty-five instances in which goods
belonging to Japanese merchants were seized and
kept in detention by members of the Anti-Japanese
Associations in Shanghai during the period from July
1931 to the end of December 1931. The value of the
goods involved was estimated approximately at
\$287,000. Of these instances, in August 1932, five
were reported as still remaining unsolved.

"With regard to illegal acts committed against Chinese, the Chinese Assessor observed on page 17 of his memorandum on the boycott:

place, that a foreign nation is not authorised to raise a question of internal law. In fact, we find ourselves confronted with acts denounced as unlawful but committed by Chinese nationals in prejudice to other Chinese nationals. Their suppression is a matter for the Chinese authorities, and it seems to us that no one has the right of calling into account the manner in which the Chinese penal law is applied in matters where both offenders and sufferers belong to our own nationality. No state has the right of intervention in the administration of exclusively

domestic affairs of another state. This is what the principle of mutual respect for each other's sovereignty and independence means.

"So stated, the argument is incontestable, but it overlooks the fact that the ground of the Japanese complaint is not that one Chinese national has been illegally injured by another, but that the injury has been done to Japanese interests by the employment of methods which are illegal under Chinese law, and that failure to enforce the law in such circumstances implies the responsibility of the Chinese Government for the injury done to Japan.

"(3) Responsibility of the Chinese Government for the boycott.

"This leads to a consideration of the last controversial point involved in the policy of the boycott -- namely. the extent of the responsibility of the Chinese Government. The Chinese official attitude is that 'the liberty of choice in making purchases is a personal right which no Government can interfere with; while the Governments are responsible for the protection of lives and property, they are not required by any commonly recognized regulations and principles to prohibit and punish the exercise of an elemental right of every citizen.'

5 6

7 8

10

9

12.

11

14

13

15 16

17

18

20

22

24

"The Commission has been supplied with documentary evidence which is reproduced in the Study No. 8 annexed to this Report and which indicates that the part taken by the Chinese Government in the present boycott has been somewhat more direct than the quotation above would tend to indicate. We do not suggest that there is anything improper in the fact that Government departments should support the boycott movement; we only wish to point out that official encouragement involves a measure of Government responsibility. In this connection, the question of relations between the Government and the Kuomintang must be considered. Of the responsibility of the latter there can be no question. It is the controlling and co-ordinating organ behind the whole boycott movement. The Kuomintang may be the maker and the master of the Government, but to determine at what point the responsibility of the party ends and that of the Government begins is a complicated problem of constitutional law on which the Commission does not feel it proper to pronounce.

"Comments.

"The claim of the Government that the boycott is a legitimate weapon of defence against military aggression by a stronger country, especially in cases

where methods of arbitration have not previously been utilised, raises a question of a much wider character. No one can deny the right of the individual Chinese to refuse to buy Japanese goods, use Japanese banks or ships, or to work for Japanese employers, to sell commodities to Japanese, or to maintain social relations with Japanese. Nor is it possible to deny that the Chinese, acting individually or even in organised bodies, are entitled to make propaganda on behalf of these ideas, always subject to the condition, of course, that the methods do not infringe the laws of the land, Whother, however, the organised application of the boycott to the trade of one particular country is consistent with friendly relations or in conformity with treaty obligations is rather a problem of international law than a subject for our enquiry. We would express the hope, however, that, in the interest of all States, this problem should be considered at an early date and regulated by international agreement.

"In the course of the present chapter, it has been shown first that Japan, in connection with her population problem, is seeking to increase her industrial output and to secure for this purpose reliable oversea markets; secondly, that, apart

24

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

from the export of raw silk to the United States of America, China constitutes the principal market for Japanese exports and at the same time supplies the Island Empire with an important amount of raw materials and foodstuffs. Further, China has attracted nearly the whole of Japan's foreign investments, and even in her present disturbed and undeveloped condition, 7 offers a profitable field to Japanese economic and financial activities of various types. Finally, 9 an analysis of the injury caused to Japanese interests in China by the various boycotts which have succeeded one another from 1908 until to-day has drawn attention 12 to the vulnerable character of those interests.

"The dependence of Japan on the Chinese market is fully recognised by the Japanese themselves. On the other hand, China is a country which stands in the most urgent need of development in all fields of economic life, and Japan, which in 1931, notwithstanding the boycott, occupied the first place in her total foreign trade, seems, more than any other foreign Power, indicated as an ally in economic matters.

"The interdependence of the trade of those two neighbouring countries and the interests of both call for an economic rapprochement, but there can

1

6

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

25 24

be no such rapprochement so long as the political relations between them are so unsatisfactory as to call forth the use of military force by one and the economic force of the boycott by the other."

Taking the first paragraph in Chapter VIII, page 121, under "Economic Interests in Manchuria."

"It has been shown in the preceding chapter that the economic requirements of Japan and China, unless disturbed by political considerations, would lead to mutual understanding and co-operation and not to conflict. The study of the inter-relation between Japanese and Chinese economic interests in Manchuria, taken in themselves and apart from the political events of recent years, leads to the same conclusion. The economic interests of both countries in Manchuria are not irreconcilable; indeed, their reconciliation is necessary if the existing resources and future economic possibilities of Manchuria are to be developed to the fullest extent."

Now we go to page 129, under the heading of "International Interests."

"Apart from China and Japan, other Powers of the world have also important interests to defend

in this Sino-Japanese conflict. We have already referred to existing multilateral treaties, and any real and lasting solution by agreement must be compatible with the stipulations of these fundamental agreements, on which is based the peace organisation of the world. The considerations which actuated the representatives of the Powers at the Washington Conference are still valid. It is quite as much in the interests of the Powers now as it was in 1922 to assist the reconstruction of China and to maintain her sovereignty and her territorial and administrative integrity as indispensable to the maintenance of peace. Any disintegration of China might lead, perhaps rapidly, to serious international rivalries, which would become all the more bitter if they should happen to coincide with rivalries between divergent social systems. Finally, the interests of peace are the same the world over. Any loss of confidence in the application of the principles of the Covenant and of the Pact of Paris in any part of the world diminishes the value and efficacy of those principles everywhere.

"Interests of U.S.S.R.

2

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

21

22

23

24

"The Commission has not been able to obtain direct information as to the extent of the interests

of the U.S.S.R. in Manchuria, nor to ascertain the views of the Government of the U.S.S.R. on the Manchurian question. But, even without sources of direct information, it cannot overlook the part played by Russia in Manchuria nor the important interests which the U.S.S.R. have in that region as owners of the Chinese Eastern Railway and of the territory beyond its north and north-east frontiers. It is clear that any solution of the problem of Manchuria which ignored the important interests of the U.S.S.R. would risk a future breach of the peace and would not be permanent."

I read 4, 5 and 6 on the same page:
"Recognition of Japan's interests in
Manchuria.

"The rights and interests of Japan in Manchuria are facts which cannot be ignored, and any solution which failed to recognise them and to take into account also the historical associations of Japan with that country would not be satisfactory.

"The establishment of new treaty relations between China and Japan.

"A re-statement of the respective rights, interests and responsibilities of both countries in Manchuria in new treaties, which shall be part

1.

14.

1.

4 5

of the settlement by agreement, is desirable if future friction is to be avoided and mutual confidence and co-operation are to be restored.

"Effective provision for the settlement of future disputes.

"As a corollary to the above, it is necessary that provision should be made for facilitating the prompt settlement of minor disputes as they arise."

That concludes the reading of the provisions from the Lytton Report.

We now offer in evidence defense document

Ne. 262, which is an extract from the Asahai Shinbun

of the 20th April, 1928, which is an official

statement issued by the Japanese government concerning

the expedition to China. It shows that the Japanese

maintained the viewpoint that when it was no longer

necessary to protect Japanese residents, troops

would be automatically withdrawn. This document

emphasizes the unsettled conditions in China existing

at the time.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution object to the introduction of this press release so far back as 1928 on the grounds that had been so frequently urged in objecting

1.

of the settlement by agreement, is designable if future friction is to be avoided and mutual confidence and co-operation are to be restored.

"Effective provision for the settlement of future disputes.

"As a corollary to the above, it is necessary that provision should be made for facilitating the prompt settlement of minor disputes as they arise."

That concludes the reading of the provisions from the Lytton Report.

We now offer in evidence defense document
No. 262, which is an extract from the Asahai Shinbun
of the 20th April, 1928, which is an official
statement issued by the Japanese government concerning
the expedition to China. It shows that the Japanese
maintained the viewpoint that when it was no longer
necessary to protect Japanese residents, troops
would be automatically withdrawn. This document
emphasizes the unsettled conditions in China existing
at the time.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution object to the introduction of this press release so far back as 1928 on the grounds that had been so frequently urged in objecting

7 8

to press releases.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: This document, I submit, throws some light upon the conditions which existed at the time, and, taken into consideration with the material which is to follow, will bear some light on this issue which we are now presenting.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: At this time we introduce a witness.

Do you wish to have him introduced before the recess, or do you wish to hear him after?

THE PRESIDENT: Have you any more documents you can conveniently present?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: . We have them in an order, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, swear him.

KATSUO FURUYAMA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Japanese interpreters as follows:

BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I ask that the witness be shown defense document No. 1006.

THE PRESIDENT: Ask for his name and address.

Q I ask you to state your name and present address.

A I reside in Tokyo at Suginamiku, Mabashi 1-chome, No. 41. My name is FURUYAMA, Katsuo.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I ask that the witness be shown defesne document No. 1006 for the purposes of indentification.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.)

Q The Marshal has handed you defense document 1006, and I ask you to state whether that is your affidavit.

A This is my affidavit.

Q I ask you to state if all the statements contained in the affidavit are true?

A All true.

2

4

-

'

9

10

11

13

15

17

18

20

21

22

24

25

The same

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I now offer in evidence defense document No. 1066, which is the affidavit of FURUYAMA, Katsuo.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1200 after which the proceedings were resumed as follows):

. 11

b e r g & B r 0

3

11 12 13

8

9

10

15 16

14

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

MARSHALL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunel for the Far East is now resumed. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 1006 will receive exhibit No. 2505.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2505 and received in evidence.)

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I skip the formal parts and begin with the witness' qualifications:

"Graduated from the Law Department of the Tokyo Imperial University in 1921, became a member of the South Manelurian Railway Company Ltd. in May of the same year.' Resigned from the Company at its dissolution in September 1945. While in the South Manchurian Railway Company I was an adviser of the Mukden Railway Office, January 1932 to May 1934.

"Regarding the So-called Dairen Conference I state as follows:

"Manchukuo was founded on March 1st, 1932. It took about one year and a half to see the internal order and peace regained, and various systems needed in an independent state inaugurated. It was on September 15th, 1932, that Manchukuo gained recognition by Japan as an independent state. Later the UMEZO-Ho-Ying-Chin agreement was--"

We have to insert there "agreed" rather then "signed" on account of a translation error. I ask that that be referred and verified by the translation department.

THE PRESIDENT: Referred accordingly.
MR. CUNNINGHAM: (Continuing).

"--for the purpose of solving all disputes between China and Manchukuo. An understanding was reached between the leaders of the two countries to rake use of that opportunity and settle all other questions pending between China and Manchukuo. In July or so of 1933 a Conference was held at Dairen for inaugurating a through--"

THE PRESIDENT: "service".

MR. CUNNINGHAM: "--service between railways and communications of the two countries.

"I myself took part in matters of the railway. China was represented at this conference by the Director of the Peiping-Shanhaik Railway, Mr. Yin Tung, and the local government representative, Mr. Lei Shou-Yang, as Chief-delegates, while from the side of Manchukuo the Director of the Mukden Railway, Mr. Kan-Tuo, and I, then Adviser to the same Railway Office, attended as Chief delegates.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

"The conference was held at the Ryoto Hotel, Dairen. As a result of the conference an establishment, the Toho-Ryokosha (the East Tourist Bureau), was set up which was to be run by the joint management of China and Manchukuo for the purpose of carrying on all businesses concerning the through traffic service. All detailed matters were drawn up in about two months after the conference, and this became the agreement governing through traffic services of the Mukden-Shanhaikwan and Peiping-Shanhaikwan Railways. The through railway traffic service between the two countries by the virtue of the aforesaid agreement was commenced from about January 1st, 1934."

Signed "FURUYAMA, Katsuo".

If there are no further questions by anyone they may cross-examine if they desire.

THE PRESIDENT: Judge Nyi.

19 20

21

22

24

CROSS -EXAMINATION

BY JUDGE NYI:

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

25

Q Mr. Witness, what was the Mukden Railway office you refer to in your affidavit?

A I do not understand your meaning.

Q I say, what was the Mukden Failway office? In other words, which railway are you referring to? From which point to which point?

A Dealing with train -- a railway line between Mukden and Shanhaikuan.

What was its former name?

A The former name of the office -- the former name of the railway was known as Peining.

Q So, it should be between Peking and Mukden but for the war?

A Yes.

Q Did it come under the control of the South Manchurian Railway?

A No.

Q Was it true that a contract had been entered into between the Japanese and Manchukuo that all the Manchurian railways should be operated by the South Manchurian Railway, the date of which, if I may refer to you, is February 9, 1933?

A I am not familiar with that matter.

4

6

7

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

23

22

24 25 Q Was it true that a protocol between Manchukuo and Japan, September 1932, guaranteed that the treaty rights of Japan, as formerly enjoyed, should not be affected by the establishment of Manchukuo?

M.. CUNNINGHAM: That is objected to for the reason that it calls for an opinion and a conclusion of the witness and is beyond the scope of the witness! affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't think it is either. He is asked to say whether there was such an agreement. That does not involve any conclusion; and there is a statement in his affidavit which shows that the scope is very broad. Objection overruled.

Q Will you please answer my question?

A I am not familiar with such basic fundamental matters because I was merely a technical adviser on railway matters.

THE PNESIDENT: He shows a knowledge of basic fundamental matters, but his knowledge may not extend to the particular matter to which you refer, Judge Nyi.

Q You have stated in your affidavit that, while you were with the South Manchurian Railway, you served as an adviser to the Mukden Railway

office. So, I took it that you know the relation between the Japanese-operated railway and the railway of Manchukuo. Now, was it true that, after the establishment of Manchukuo, matters relating to railways through Manchuria were entirely entrusted to the Japanese?

A At that time the matter did not reach that stage. At that time I was adviser to the Mukden

Lailway office in response to an invitation to serve in that capacity, and at that time I was not familiar as to how far questions of that nature progressed.

Q . How did you become the adviser of the Mukden Railway office?

A The matter was proposed by the Chief of the Mukden Railway office, namely, Mr. Kan Tuo, to the President of the South Manchurian Railway, and the President of the South Manchuria Railway ordered me to serve as adviser to -- in response to that invitation.

Q So that authority to serve still come from South Manchurian Railway, was it?

A Yes.

Q Do I understand it correctly that you served also on the recommendation of the Kwantung Army?

A That I do not know anything about.

Q What do you mean by you do not know? You are supposed to know where the recommendation come from.

A I took over the position at the order of the President of the South Manchuria Railway. I do not know what kind of conversations took place before that order was given.

Q Was it true that up to that time there was an agreement in force between the Japanese and the Manchurian authorities that Japanese advisers should be employed in government offices?

A That I am not quite familiar with.

Q Considering the position you held in

Q Considering the position you held in South Manchurian Railway and the agreement between Japan and Manchukuo, as I have just mentioned, is it more appropriate to take you as representing the Japanese rather than "anchukuo in the Dairen Conference?

A I attended the Dairen Conference as an adviser to the Chief of the Mukden Railway office, Mr. Lan Tuo.

Q What was the position of Mr. Kan Tuo?

THE PRESIDENT: He said he was Chief of that railway office.

government, do you?

Q What was the position of Mr. Lei Shou-jung, you mentioned in your affidavit as representing the Chinese?

A I do not know well, but he was a representative of Chinese political organs at the conference.

Q But you do know that he represent the local

A Yes, I interpret him as a representative of a local regime in Peiping because he had come as a representative from Peiping. I do not know what kind of relations he had politically with the south.

Q With regard to the result of the conference, you stated that the Eastern Tourist Bureau was formed. Was it a Sino-Japanese joint enterprise?

A No. This organization, the Eastern Tourist Bureau, was created after talks between the Mukden-Shanhaikuan and Peining Dailway authorities.

Q But, still it is jointly operated by the Japanese and Chinese authorities -- the Chinese and the Japanese people, aren't they?

A No. It was made up of authorized representatives of both railways, namely, the Mukden-Shanhaikuan and Peining Railways; and, although there were two or three Japanese assisting in the enterprise, it was not a Japanese entirprise what-

3

5

7

9

10 11

12

14

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

soever.

Q To you know what was the composition of the Eastern Tourist Bureau?

A Well, being something occurred quite a long time ago, I do not remember very well; but railway carriages were provided for by this company for the Mukden-Shanhaikuan and Peining Railways, and this company took over and handled through traffic exclusively.

Q I understand that the through traffic was operated by the railways, but what about the other administrative matters? If I may refresh your mind, was it represented on the Chinese side by the China Travel Service and on the Japanese side, the Japanese Tourist Bureau?

A It is not related to either of these two.

This company was organized by the Mukden-Shanhaikuan and Peining Railway companies -- offices.

JUDGE NYI: If your Honor please, I would like to reserve the right to -- reserve the introduction of further evidence on this at a later stage about the composition of the East Travel Bureau.

THE PRESIDENT: Evidence may be given in rebuttal on the usual terms later.

JUDGE NYI: And this piece of evidence in question will show that this is entirely operated by two private bureaus.

THE PRESIDENT: You cannot personally give evidence at any stage unless you go in the witness box.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Is it suggested, your Honor, that under the Charter the prosecution has a right of rebuttal after the defense concludes its evidence?

THE PRESIDENT: It was so decided, and the decision was given in court here. You must have been away on that occasion, Mr. Cunningham.

Judge Nyi.

BY JUDGE NYI (Continued):

Q Mr. Witness, was Dairen situated inside the Kwantung Leased territory?

A Yes.

Q Was it set apart from the rest of Manchuria politically?

A Yes.

Q Why Dairen was chosen as the place of meeting?

A That I do not know.

Was Dairen on the railway in question?

1 2

4

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

22

23

A No.

Why was it necessary to hold the meeting, instead of on some town on the railway line, but hold it in a far, remote place, and that place was the former abode of the Kwantung Headquarters?

A That I do not know, but I should think that the place was chosen because of its good climate and various accommodations which were pleasant.

Why was the conference held in a hotel instead of in some government office building?

THE PRESIDENT: That is trifling. Conferences between railway commissioners from different countries are frequently held in the office of one of them.

JUDGE NYI: I withdraw that question.

Duda & Whalen

Q Do you know that China had made a reservation that such talk could not imply recognition of Manchukuo?

A I do not know.

Q Considering all the facts and circumstances it was a meeting of the local officials, was it?

A That I am not positive about.

Q Now, you put on page 1 of your affidavit, the fourth line from the bottom, you said: "Regarding the so-called Dairen Conference, . . . " Why did you put that word "so-called" there? Is it true that you are not so sure that this conference does not deserve the term "conference?"

A Ne. I mean to say that it was generally and customarily called "Dairen Conference" at the time.

That is why I used the term.

Q Why was it necessary to put this "so-called?"
The meaning would be very explicit without putting that
word "so-called."

A I did not think that matter to be so important at that time.

Q Now, with regard to the result of the conference, so-called conference, you say that the details were not put into effect until two months afterwards?

Excuse me, the question should be: The detailed matters

were drawn up in about two months -- I mean it isn't to ask him it is not effected until two months afterwards -- drawn up.

Do you mean that matters, deteiled matters, were drawn up which were not effected until afterwards?

A I mean to say that at the Dairen Conference the principle of establishing the tourist bureau and through traffic was determined, and that it required two months to arrange the details.

Q Do you know that as late as June 1934 the Kwantung Army was still exercising pressure for putting into effect the through traffic of the railway, and that was about a year after the so-called Dairen Conference?

A Of that I do not have any clear or positive recollection.

DR. NYI: Your Honor, in this connection the prosecution will adduce further evidence to prove that it was the Kwantung Army which insisted on the resumption of the through traffic. This will be introduced later at a later stage.

THE PRESIDENT: This is your explanation of why you are not pressing the cross-examination.

Q Why was it not put into effect until at such a late stage?

THE PRESIDENT: He says he doesn't know anything about it, so don't ask him.

DR. NYI: I will withdraw the question.

- Q Did you know that the actual resumption did not take place until July 1934?
 - A I have no positive recollection.
- Q Why did you say in the last sentence of your affidavit, "The through railway traffic service between the two countries by the virtue of the aforesaid agreement was commenced from about January 1st, 1934"?
- A Yes. In my recollection the through traffic was effected as of the new year of the following year.
 - Q Are you sure about it?
 - A Yes, I think so positively.

DR. NYI: In this connection we will adduce evidence in a later stage to prove that it was not resumed until July 1st, 1934.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: It is suggested that the evidence in this respect should be submitted now, at least while we have this witness here who is familiar with the subject.

THE PRESIDENT: If it would refresh the witness' memory that would be the usual course. But the prosecutor has the option of tendering the document later, and

12

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

15

14

16 17

> 18 19

20 21

22

23

24

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

his failure to examine on the details of it now can only be a matter for comment. It does not render it inadmissible.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: My suggestion is we ought to settle these issues as we go along, because this suggests surrebuttal and the continuation of the trial ad infinitum.

THE PRESIDENT: We ere, indeed, indebted to you, Mr. Cunningham, for your suggestion, but we will still control the trial.

BY DR. WYI (Continuing):

Q Now, Mr. Witness, on page 2 you have made a narration of the events since the establishment of Manchukuo. Did you put them in chronological order?

A Yes, I think I so put them down.

Q Now, you referred to the establishment of Manchukuo, the recognition of Manchukuo, the date being September 1932. Then about eight lines from the top you say, "In July or so of 1933" the Dairen Conference was held. Now, in between these two dates you put the UMEZU-Ho Ying-chin agreement. Do you suggest that this Ho Ying-chin-UMEZU agreement was made in between these two dates?

A My recollection is not very clear on that matter, and I have no confidence in making any positive statement.

Q When did that Ho Ying-chin-UMEZU agreement was made, could you recall?

A Not clearly, but my recollection is just about that time.

Was the UMEZU-Ho Ying-chin agreement made in 1935?

A I have no clear and positive recollection.

Q Well, the prosecution is in possession of abundant evidence to show you that this happened in 1935, and that was long after the Dairen Conference. Does that refresh your mind?

A No.

Q Now, you stated in your affidavit that the Ho Ying-chin agreement was made for the purpose of solving all disputes between China and Manchukuo.

Now, what was the subject matter of this so-called agreement?

A I am not familiar in detail with the subject matter of the agreement, but at that time I had heard of such an agreement.

Q You have stated so authoritatively that this agreement was signed for the solution of all pending issues between the two countries.

A I wrote about it because I had heard of such

1.

3

.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

matters.

Q Now you say that it was intended to solve the disputes between China and Manchukuo. Are you sure that the two parties are China and Manchukuo?

A That is the way I understood the matter.

DR. NYI: The prosecution is in possession of evidence which will prove that this subject matter and the parties involved thereto are entirely contradictory to the witness' statement.

THE PRESIDENT: That really is not by way of explanation of your failure to press the cross-examination further. You should not make those statements. They are provocative and may lead to trouble.

DR. NYI: That concludes my cross-examination.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Apparently there is no redirect examination of this witness. There might be after the prosecution introduces its other documents on this question.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, you have no questions on redirect.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I ask that the witness be excused on the usual terms.

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

IR. CUNNINGHAM: We next offer in evidence defense document No. 378, being an excerpt from the Tokyo Nichi-Nichi Shimbun of February 22, 1935, reporting a speech of Wang Chao-Ming, Chief of the Chinese Executive Yuan, delivered on February 20, 1935, showing the cooperative attitude of Chinese leaders subsequent to the Tangku truce toward a settlement of the outstanding issues between the two countries.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal: In accition to our submission that this document has no probative value, taking into account its source, we submit that it is irrelevant and immaterial. It appears to show that China was desirous

3

5

7

8 9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

23

24 25 of having friendly relations with Japan. It appears.
to show nothing else. And it is submitted that it can
be of no assistance to the Tribunal in determining the
issues in this case. We therefore object to its
introduction.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I suggest that the source of the information should not determine its admissibility, but who says it and what is said should determine its probative value. And the statements of this Chinese executive should throw some light upon the controversies which were in existence between the parties at the time.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, it seems very general, Mr. Cunningham.

By a majority, the Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: We next offer in evidence defense document 379, being also an excerpt from the Tokyo Nichi-Nichi of February 22, 1935, reporting that the Chinese National Government on February 20, 1935, issued orders prohibiting anti-Japanese articles to all newspapers and publishing firms in China in furtherance of the policy outlined in the previous document.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the

Tribunal, as my learned friend has really read the whole document, an objection at this stage appears to be rather unnecessary.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, Brigadier Quilliam,
I advise you to take any objection that you think
ought to be taken, regardless of the action of counsel.
Counsel's attitude towards the Court this morning is
clearly offensive, his demeanor is objectionable; but
we are displaying great patience with him. He behayes
as no other counsel, no other American counsel, has
behaved before us. I refer now to his general
demeanor.

However, Brigadier, proceed to take any objection you think you should take.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, we object to this document, first of all, on the ground that it has no real probative value. But there is a more important ground, which is based on the question of relevancy.

We respectfully submit that the fact, if it be a fact, that there had been anti-Japanese publications in Chinese is quite irrelevant to the consideration of this case. It is assumed -- we are forced to assume -- that it is intended to show self-defense as a defense to the charges. Presumably the object is to suggest

1 2 3

that if anti-Japanese articles are published, warlike -military operations are justified. We submit that the publication of articles or other anti-Japanese matters can afford no justification to the defendants in connection with the charges in this case; and, therefore, that the document should be rejected as irrelevant. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham. MR. CUNNINGHAM: No comment on that cocument 8 further. THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court 10 upholds the objection and rejects the document. 11 We will adjourn until half-past one. 12 (Whereupon, at 1200 a recess was 13 taken.) 14 15 16 17 18 19

22 23 24

7

2

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International

lilitary Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

IR. CUNNINGHAM: I next offer in evidence

defense document No. 38, being the ordinance for the

promotion of international amity issued by the government of China, June 10, 1935, in furtherance of a

policy of cooperation between China and her neighbors.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the

Tribunal, in our submission this document is on all

fours with defense document 378 which was rejected

by the Tribunal this morning. It merely expresses

quite general language the desire of the Chinese

Government for peaceful relations with other countries.

Tribunal for determining the issues in the case and

the prosecution objects to its introduction.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: This document shows that
some of the accused were in office at the time, were
carrying on peaceful relations with China, and it has a
direct bearing upon the testimony and the documentary

evidence which "ill follow.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court overrules the objection and admits the document.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 38 will receive exhibit No. 2506.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2506 and received in evidence.)

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Omitting the formal parts:

"(June 10, 1935)

"National Government Ordinance

"As immediate means to ensure the independence of our country it is essential that internally
we administer the affairs of State with fairness,
quicken the advance of culture, and enhance the
national strength, while international good faith
should be faithfully kept and international peace
should be established in harmonious cooperation with
other countries. Above all it is of urgent importance
to promote friendly relations with our immediate
neighbors.

"In obedience to the repeated admonitions of the Central Government, our people should endeavor to cultivate a close friendship with our allies and strictly refrain from such speech and acts as will incite agitation and ill feeling against the allied nation(s). Especially we decree that no organization shall be borned that will interfere with amicable relationship with our allies. Those who act contrary to this decree shall be severely punished.

"We hereby issue this Ordinance."

We next offer defense document No. 1087, the address of Foreign Minister ARITA at the 69th session of the Diet on May 6, 1936. It has been our practice to introduce the foreign policy statements of previous years in order to show Japan's policy of meeting the situations as they arose.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is the address delivered in the Diet of Foreign Minister ARITA, who is not a defendant. It is submitted that it is a self-serving statement which possesses no probative value or relevancy. The prosecution objects to the introduction of this document on the same ground that it has objected to the introduction of similar documents.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I wish to submit that this document is different than some of the others, perhaps. This is a speech by -- an address by Minister ARITA and since in the Indictment the prosecution has

4 5

charged divers other persons we must submit the general policy of the Japanese Government in order to answer the issues which have been raised by these specific charges, and I might suggest that this Foreign Minister, ARITA, was a member of the cabinet of which the other members, the accused, were supposed to have dominated.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 1087 will receive exhibit No. 2507.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2507 and received in evidence.)

MR. CUNNINGHAM: (Reading)

"THE ADDRESS OF MR. HACHIRO ARITA, MINISTER FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS, AT THE 69th SESSION OF THE IMPERIAL DIET, MAY 6, 1936.

"Having assumed the responsibility of conducting our foreign affairs in these eventful times both at home and abroad, I have the honor today of stating my views concerning the foreign policy of Japan.

"To insure the stability of East Asia, contributing thereby to the cause of world peace, and

at the same time to promote the happiness and welfare of mankind by upholding firmly international justice, has always been our national policy. And in the execution of this policy it should be, I believe, the guiding spirit of our diplomacy to seek the security and development of our national life as well as to work for concord and cooperation among all the nations and especially to maintain, upon the basis of our special and inseparable relationship with Manchoukuo, our position as the stabilizing force in East Asia.

"Under such a policy animated by such a spirit, we shall of course be independent and positive in dealing with various international questions. On the other hand, we must take care not to impair the honor and dignity of Japan as a great Power but strive assiduously to conform to the august wishes of our Sovereign by cultivating, as is set forth in the Imperial Rescript issued at the time of Japan's withdrawal from the League of Nations, 'mutual confidence between Our Empire and all the other Powers and make known the justice of its cause throughout the world.'

"Nowadays there prevails all over the world an atmosphere of dissatisfaction and unrest; and

tendencies are rife everywhere that are threatening to the preservation of peace. We must first of all eliminate the causes that underlie this atmosphere of unrest and dissatisfaction if we are to insure the peace of the world. While it is only natural that all nations should try to safeguard their own interests, excess of ardor in that attempt often leads a country to disregard the position of others. This is one of the prime causes of the universal unrest today. One cannot but hope that the statemen of the world will give serious thoughts to this point as they address themselves to the task of readjusting international relations, political and economic.

"With these preliminary remarks I shall now speak on our relations with the Powers.

"We desire for the sake of the peace of East Asia the maintenance of normal and peaceful relations between Japan and Manchoukuo on the one hand and the USSR on the other. It is scarcely necessary to say that neither Japan nor Manchoukuo harbors any aggressive designs. That there should occur, nevertheless, incidents, such as have so frequently occurred in recent months, along the frontiers both between Manchoukuo and the Soviet Union and between Manchoukuo and Outer Mongolia, is most regrettable. Our

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

Government have already called the attention of the Soviet authorities to the fact that wanton resort to arms on a dogmatic assumption that a trespass has been committed upon their territories, where there exists no clear border demarcation, can serve no useful purpose and only injure the friendly relations between the nations concerned. I take this occasion to emphasize this point again most strongly. The Japanese Government, after consultation with the Government of Manchoukuo, proposed in the middle of March last a plan for taking proper and effective measures for the clarification of the border line all along the Soviet-Manchoukuo frontier, and, simultaneously, for setting up an organ for the peaceful solution of all border disputes. We proposed to put this plan into execution, beginning with the zone between Lake Khanka and the Tumen River on the eastern frontier where disputes have been most frequent. And in view of the fact that nearly all of the recent incidents have taken place in this region, it was thought that our practical proposal would speedily be accepted by Government of the Soviet Union. Now that they have indicated their concurrence with our views, I hope that discussions of concrete questions will begin in due course.

23 24

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

"Direct negotiations are now in progress between Manchoukuo and Outer Mongolia. Along with the progress of these negotiations it is, I am told, the intention of the Government of Manchoukuo to solve amicably the various pending questions and to establish good neighborly relations with Outer Mongolia. It is hoped that these direct negotiations will result in an early solution of all questions, and particularly in the exchange of" -- that word is gone in my copy; well, skip a word -- "representatives between Manchoukuo and Outer Mongolia.

"As may be surmised from what I have said so far, the present relations between Japan and the Soviet Union cannot be said to be altogether felicitous. The fundamental cause of this unfortunate state of things lies, if I may say so frankly, in the lack of comprehension on the part of the Soviet statesmen of Japan's position in East Asia, coupled with their baseless fears and suspicions. The fact that the Soviet Union maintains excessive armaments at her remote outposts in the Far East constitutes a real menace to peace in this part of the world. I desire to state definitely on this occasion that Japan in her solicitude for the peace of East Asia cannot remain indifferent to that fact.

"As regards Manchoukuo, we are pursuing our immutable policy which is rooted in the inseparable relationship between our two countries, and aims consistently at the establishment of normal tripartite relations among Japan, Manchoukuo and China. While we continue to assist as much as possible in the economic development of Manchoukuo, we are also making preparations for carrying out step by step the abolition of extraterritoriality and the adjustment and transfer of the administrative rights in the South Manchuria Railway zone.

tions on the basis of the three principles expounded at the last session of the Diet by the then Foreign Minister, Mr. HIROTA. Only it is essential in order to expedite these negotiations that the Chinese authorities should take a truly broad view of the situation in East Asia and enter upon them with a firm resolve. It is very unfortunate that apparently China as yet has not come to a full decision. However, in the face of the obvious and imperious necessity of adjusting Sino-Japanese relations in the interest not only of the two countries but also of the peace of East Asia, it is our intention to urge the Chinese Government to make up their mind and at the same time

13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |

to do everything possible on our part to bring about
the readjustment of our relations along all lines.

The Japanese Government are greatly concerned over
the inroads of the communist influence into East Asia.

It is reported that a part of the main force of the
Red armies, that moved into Shensi from Szechuan
sometime ago, has recently invaded Shansi, and is
still active in that province. Moreover, in view of
the likelihood that they may march on northwards at
any favorable moment, we are watching the developments with particular attention.

passed away -- an illustrious monarch, universally loved and admired for his wisdom and the rare personality which, during his long reign of twenty-five years, enabled him to guide his people through great trials, including the World War. The new King, Edward VIII, who once visited Japan, is thoroughly conversant with the intricacies of the international situation. I desire to express our country's best wishes that the British Empire may prosper as ever under His Majesty's rule, and our confident hope that the traditional friendship of our two countries will continue to grow. There are a number of questions in various parts of the world, which affect our mutual interests and which

```
1 await settlement in one way or another. However,
2 if the two countries, keeping in mind their old
3 friendship and their common responsibilities toward
4 world peace, give full consideration to each other's
 5 special needs, the adjustment of their interests
6 should not, I believe, prove too difficult.
10
11
12
13
14
15
 16
 17
. 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
```

"In Japanese-American friendship lies the very key to the maintenance of peace in the Pacific. It is most fortunate that not only are the economic relations of the two countries complementary, but their mutual understanding is being steadily deepened. I believe that the two nations, always respecting each other's position and aims, should collaborate more and more for insuring the peace of the Pacific. I shall devote my best efforts to the furtherance of Japanese-American amity and understanding.

12:

"In the field of international trade the tendency is more in evidence than ever among many countries abroad to attempt the exclusion of foreign products under one pretext or another, or to form the so-called economic blocs, heavily armed with weapons of trade war. If this tendency is left unchecked, it will, as has always been pointed out by our Government, serve only to aggravate the world-wide depression, ultimately paralyzing international commerce and shattering the economic life of all peoples. We have taken every available occasion to urge upon those countries the abolition of their economic armaments as the true and only means of saving the world from the throes of economic

depression, of realizing the common prosperity and happiness of all peoples and of promoting peace in the world. However, I regret to say that our just 4 claims have not been accepted by most countries, which are expanding the scope of their obstructive measures against trade more widely than ever -- in 7 some cases apparently for the sole purpose of ex-8 cluding Japanese goods. This is a serious matter for our nation, which cannot sustain its economic 10 life except by securing abroad a supply of raw 11 material and a market for finished products. We 12 shall have to continue our efforts by friendly 13 means for the mitigation or abolition of economic 14 armament. But should the conditions fail to improve 15 despite our endeavors, we might be compelled to 16 adopt the necessary measures in order to meet the 17 situation. Even then, we would, of course, have no other end in view than that of causing the Powers 18 19 concerned to reconsider their policies and of placing international trade relations in a free and wholesome atmosphere. 21 22 "Finally, I should like to say a few words 23 on international cultural relations. The knowledge and appreciation of one another's culture and civilization is essential for promoting amity and good

understanding among nations. Since 1934 the Japanese Government have given their support to the inauguration of various international cultural enterprises and have encouraged their expansion, besides carrying on as hitherto the activities in China of the Cultural Work Bureau. As a result, a marked growth of interest in the study of things Japanese has been recently seen abroad. We shall continue to foster the enterprises in this field in order to advance the cause of international understanding and human wellbeing. It is also our plan to improve and expand the various facilities and institutions now under the auspices of the Cultural Work Bureau for fostering cultural cooperation among Japan, Manchoukuo and China, and for contributing to the advancement of the world's civilization through the dissemination of the noble and profound culture of the Orient.

"The recent unfortunate incident in Tokyo seems to have shocked the whole world. But for the august virtue of our Sovereign and the spirit of loyalty and solidarity manifested by our people on that occasion, Japan's foreign relations might have suffered untoward consequences. I firmly believe that our people in all walks of life should, with a full grasp of the international situation, work

23 24

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

together with courage and determination if we are to surmount the difficulties now before us and to put into execution the national policy of our empire. Let me rely upon your earnest and whole-hearted cooperation while I, on my part, will do all in my power to fulfill the responsible duties of my post."

MR. BROOKS: Mr. President, in exhibit
2506, defense document 38, the second paragraph,
there is apparently an error in translation, sir.

I would like to have the second paragraph referred
to the Language Section. The words are in lines 3,
5, and 7 in that, in relation to "our allies." I
think the word are "neighboring countries." It
wouldn't make good sense otherwise. One of my
interpreters said it was "friendly nations." One
of the Language Section said he thought it was
"neighboring countries," and that he would check on
it further if referred to him.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Moore will advise us. Mr. Cunningham.

IR. CUNNINGHAM: We now offer defense document No. 213, a part of the address of Prime Minister and Foreign Minister of Japan, dated 15 February 1937, describing the official attitude of

national problems. This document shows that the Japanese Government officials were only adopting a policy to meet the problems as they arose and to keep the peace. It is more or less a report on the state of the nation at that date.

the Japanese leaders and government toward inter-

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document.

No. 213 will receive exhibit No. 2508.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2508 and received in evidence.)

IR. CUNNINGHAM (Reading):

"PART OF THE ADDRESS OF THE PRIME MINISTER AND FOREIGN MINISTER, IR. SENJURO HAYASHI,
BEARING ON THE GOVERNMENT'S FOREIGN POLICY, AT THE
SEMENTIETH SESSION OF THE DIET, FEBRUARY 15, 1937.

"Now turning to our foreign affairs, I wish to say that the Government will pursue in the spirit of international justice a national policy, embodying the unanimous will of the nation, in order to secure the stability of East Asia and to promote the common prosperity of all nations and to brighten thereby the horizon of our international relations. To that end we will further strengthen

our intimate and inseparable relations with Manchoukuo, and pay special attention to the adjustment of our relations with China and Soviet Union.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

"As regards China, it is to be deeply regretted that despite our efforts in the past to act in concert with that country for the purpose of ensuring stability in East Asia, the Chinese Government have as yet failed to understand fully our true intentions, and there have risen a number of problems between the two countries. I believe that it is of urgent necessity at this juncture to cultivate cordial feelings between the two nations and to improve their relationship so that they ray be brought to work in close cooperation for the peace and stability of East Asia. And for this purpose we must foster mutual appreciation and bring about closer contact not only between the two governments but also the two peoples and achieve in more concrete form cooperation and mutual assistance of the two countries. No matter what obstacles there may be, we are resolved to surmount them and to effect the adjustment of the relations between the two countries.

"For the sake of the peace of the Orient it is of course necessary that the Soviet Union

 should correctly comprehend Japan's position in East Asia and that both the Soviet Union and Japan should seek to establish harmonious relations. To speed the negotiations on the pending issues toward an amicable settlement is to contribute to the accomplishment of this end. I wish therefore to urge upon the authorities of the Soviet Union to take a broad view and cooperate with us in that regard.

"A short time ago our Government concluded with the German Government an agreement guarding against the menace of the Comintern. This was a timely measure as well as one of imperative necessity for Japan to take in the light of the rampant activities of the Comintern throughout the world, especially in East Asia in recent times. The Government will try not to err in the execution of the agreement but will do their utmost to secure best results therefrom.

"Japan's policy of promoting friendship
with Great Britain and the United States is immutable.
Between the former country and ours there exist
questions of various sorts requiring adjustment.
But none of them is of such character as will impair
the foundations of the Anglo-Japanese friendship.
I firmly believe that they will be solved through

mutual understanding.

"As for the naval disarmament problem,

Japan from this year on is not a party to any
limitation treaty. However, it is needless to say
that there shall be no change in our policy of
strict adherence to the principle of nonmonace
and nonaggression.

"Finally, one of the indispensable conditions of Japan's national development is the expansion of the foreign trade, of which the need is now particularly urgent in view of the present economic situation of our country. The Government will do everything to eliminate whatsoever obstacles against the foreign trade of Japan, and take appropriate steps to expand its volume.

"The execution of these varied programs of our foreign policy will require the united strength of the entire nation, without which it will be impossible to obtain the desired results. I avail myself of this occasion to ask for your support and cooperation."

Morse & Wolf

We now offer defense document No. 1042
which is a statement of the Japanese government's
reasons for refusal to participate in Brussels
conference. The reasons were given on 27 October,
1937. It has been charged by prosecution that Japan
refused to take part in Brussels conference, and
there has been no showing and no evidence to show
the reasons why Japan refused and this document
is offered for this purpose.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this document is already in evidence and is part of exhibit No. 63, being the report of the Conference of Brussels in 1937.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I will check that, but I don't believe that part of the document was read, so it will be immaterial whether or not it was already introduced.

THE PRESIDENT: It appears to be en page 11.

I am not sure though; it needs careful reading. It
is dated 28 October in the exhibit already tendered,
No. 63. It appears at page 11. Whether it is word
for word the same, I do not know, but I assume it is.
If this part of exhibit 63 was not read you are at
liberty to read it now, Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I feel quite sure it hasn't been read, your Honor, because it is favorable to the Japanese point of view on the matter.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I suppose you will

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I suppose you will read this part of exhibit 63 and withdraw the document you tendered.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, I will withdraw the document 1042 then, and ask that I be permitted to read from prosecution's exhibit 63. (Reading):
"October 27, 1937.

"The Japanese Government, having replied to the invitation of the Belgian Government to the Conference" --

THE MONITOR: Just a minute, Mr. Cunningham.
We don't have exhibit 63 on hand, so we will read
from this document, if it is the same thing. Is it?
I mean we can read from that document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, I believe it is. We will check as we go along if there are any differences.

"The Japanese Government, having replied to the invitation of the Belgian Government to the Conference of the Signatories of the Wine-Power Treaty of 1922, take this opportunity of making public at home and abroad a statement of their views.

"l. China has witnessed the rise and fall

of countless regimes since the Revolution of 1912, but her foreign policy has been consistently one of anti-foreignism. Especially since 1924, when the Kuomintang set up the Nationalist Government in Cinton and entered into alliance with the Communists as a means of winning control of the central administration, the anti-foreign policy began to be pursued with unprecedented vigor and ruthlessness, and anti-foreign sentiments were kindled ablaze among the populace. The memory is still fresh of the way in which foreign Powers, one after another, were victimized and deprived of their vested rights and interests. It happens that Japan has been made for the past ten years the principal target of this anti-foreign policy of China.

"Japan has always striven to promote friendship and cooperation among the nations of East Asia,
in the firm conviction that therein lies the key
to the stability of that region. Japan welcomed the
deepening of Chinese national consciousness which
followed upon the Revolution, believing that it
would conduce to intimate Fino-Japanese collaboration,
and she adopted the policy of meeting the legitimate
national aspirations of China to the utmost possible
extra-territoriality. Japan, thus cultivating

China's good-will, looked patiently and eagerly forward to a favourable response that would consort with her ideal of friendship and cooperation." --

THE PRESIDENT: You left out the sentence before that, according to this exhibit.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Did I leave out a sentence?

Did I leave out a sentence?

THE PRESIDENT: According to the exhibit that I have, yes. I will read it:

"For instance, in 1926 Japan took the lead in assisting China to recover her customs autonomy, and took a firm stand in favor of China on the question of the abolition of estraterritoriality."

MR. CUNNINGHAM: (Reading)

"Japan, so cultivating Chinese good-will,
looked patiently and eagerly forward to a favorable
response that would consort with her ideal of friendship and cooperation. However, China showed no signs
of appreciation of this sympathetic attitude on the part
of Japan. On the contrary, she hoisted still higher
the banner of anti-Japanism, and seemed resolved to
annihilate all Japanese rights and interests in China.

"The Nanking Government employed anti-Japanism as a convenient tool in domestic politics for the mabilization of public opinion in support of their regime, and resorted to the unheard-of tactics of making it the foundation of moral education in the army and in the schools, so that even innocent children

5 6

and youths were taught to look upon their friendly neighbor country as an enemy. As a result, not only were the peaceful trade and economic activities of Japan interrupted, but even the very lives of Japanese nationals were jerpardized.

20.

"This anti-Japanese campaign finally took
the form of organized terrorism as in the cases of the
killing of a Japanese bluejacket at Shanghai in
November 1935 and of the subsequent murderous attacks
upon Japanese subjects at Swatow, Chengtu, Pakhoi,
Hankow and Shanghai, and the bombing of Japanese
residents at Chengsha and Swatow.

"In the face of the alarming situation,

Japanese Government remained calm and forbearing.

Urgent demands were repeatedly made upon the Nanking

Government for the reversal of their disastrous policy,

but to no avail. Then, towards the end of last year,

there occurred the Sian incident, in which General

Chiang Kai-shek was held captive for some days. Though

the exact circumstances surrounding that sensational

incident remain a mystery, it is an indisputable fact

that shortly afterwards Communist elements, gaining

the ascendancy in the Nanking Government, began to con
duct campaigns of disturbance in NorthChina and

Manchukuo under the banner of the 'Anti-Japanese

People's Front', which finally led to the Lukouchiao incident of July 7 of this year, in which Jananese soldiers were unlawfully fired upon by Chinese troops in the outskirts of Peking."

THE PRESIDENT: Well, what you are reading is the same as I have but I understand two Members or three Members of the Court have a different version.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: This is document No. 1042.

THE PRESIDENT: And you and I have the document that was given to the Conference of Brussels
and we should have the correct document. That you
are reading from I don't know, but it is the same as
mine with the exception of the one sentence which I
read, and mine is the official document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, I am reading from exhibit 63, the same thing, I believe, you are reading from except maybe I missed a sentence.

THE PRESIDENT: The interpreters, of course, are reading from the document you proposed to tender. Not from the official document. So the accused are hearing what is not the official document.

THE MONITOR: Mr. President, may I make a comment. The Japanese document, being the original, is correct. The English copy of this does not match with what Mr. Cunningham is reading, but the Japanese

version seems to be correct, sir.

1

2

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

THE PRESIDENT: The Japanese is not the original. The original is with the Brussels Conference but it is no doubt a copy of the original.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: This is a distorted copy. I don't know.

THE PRESIDENT: Where the records of the Brussels Conference are kept, I don't know. I take it in Washington.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Why don't I switch back on No. 2 here on the Japanese version in order to -- because that is the version I want to present if there is some difference. That is the one the translators have.

THE PRESIDENT: The only way to solve this is to get the original document which the Brussels Conference had. Where that is kept, we don't know. But the document you and I are reading should be the correct one, I take it. Still the accused are not hearing that; they are hearing another document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, I believe the translators have the official translation of this exhibit 63. If they can be given a minute to pick it up, perhaps it would save us time.

THE MONITOR: Just a minute while we look

for it. Mr. Cunningham, can you give us the presecution document number on that 63?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: It looks like 35, document 35. Prosecution's exhibit 63.

THE MONITOR: It will take a couple of minutes to get the document from our files downsteirs, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: How long will it take?

THE MONITOR: It will take two or three minutes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Has the whole of 63 been translated?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I presume so, but I am not sure.

THE PRESIDENT: It is tendered in English, you see, and there would have been a Japanese copy of it, I suppose, but who has a Japanese copy?

The Member from New Zealand points out that actually what has occurred is that you have omitted from your document 1042 a considerable part of the official document being part of exhibit 63. What you have done is you have omitted the last seven lines on page 11 of exhibit 63, and the whole of page 12.

MR. BROOKS: In preparing this, your Honor,
I was just pointing out to counsel there is no numbered
paragraph 2 in document 1042 that was offered, and

there has been an error, possibly, in processing it and that number doesn't even appear on there. There has been quite a bit skipped in there.

THE PRESIDENT: With this noting which I have made with the assistance of the Member from New Zealand, you should now proceed to read from page 13 of that document and you will have covered everything that you proposed to tender. If you read from the words "A belligerent spirit" to the end you will cover everything you proposed.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Continuing to read on page 13 of prosecution exhibit 63.

THE MONITOR: Mr. Qunningham, we don't have the original in English nor the Japanese. The Japanese is being fetched. We can't seem to find the Japanese copy, sir. We had it this morning but it is misplaced some place. We didn't know you were going to use it, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: They must have your document 1042.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: That is right.

THE PRESIDENT: Your document 1042 is the same as the official document is, as Mr. Cunningham and I are reading.

THE MONITOR: We haven't the Japanese document

Will you hold it a minute until we find the place?
What line, sir. What does it start with?

MR. CU. NINGHAM: "A belligerent spirit towards Japan."

THE MONITOR: Just a minute, please. It will take a few minutes to find that.

MR. BROOKS: It is the fourth line on page 2 of defense document 1042 in the English cony.

THE PRESIDENT: Read from there on.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: A belligerent spirit towards

Japan come to prevail --- "

THE MONITOR: Will you hold it a minute, sir We have to find the place yet.

The Japanese translation of that prosecution document, that is, exhibit 63, doesn't carry the translation of that section. It just has it here as Annex 1, the reply by the Japanese Government. In other words, it is in some annexed document that we don't have on hand. And the same word in your document 1042 is not translated in the Japanese version of it. In other words: "She hoisted still higher the estimate upon their country's fighting power."

The next sentence in the Japanese is not, "A belligerent spirit," and so forth, but something else. It starts out with some other place.

THE PRESIDENT: I think you had better postpone reading this and get on to the next document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I will come back when we get the translation to that point where we left off in the document before.

We next offer defense document No. 1186, the talk of the Chief of the Information Eureau of the Foreign Office concerning the abandonment of cooperation with every organ of the League of Nations, dated 2 November 1938. The Tribunal will recall that the prosecution adduced evidence on this point by exhibit 1166.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is a press release issued by the Chief of the Information Bureau, and the prosecution object to its being introduced into evidence on the same grounds as have been successfully urged in connection with other press releases.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I submit that this is a little different than what the prosecution has objected to in the other documents. It is a discussion by the Chief of the Information Bureau, one of the responsible parties of the Japanese Government, and in the past it seems as though the expressions of the

issues. My associate suggests that perhaps the Chief of the Information Bureau was one of the divers other persons who are named in the Indictment and for whose acts the defendants are being held responsible.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document.

have been accepted as evidence in this case on certain

chiefs of departments of the Japanese Government

I would like the Interpreting Section to look again to see whether they have that part in Japanese which they say they haven't in defense document 1042.

THE MONITOR: Yes, sir. Do you mean "A belligerent spirit towards Japan"?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

THE MONITOR: Mr. President, the Japanese is accurately translated up to the point, to the second line reading, "However, China showed no signs of appreciation of this sympathetic attitude on the part of Japan. On the contrary. . . ." Up to there the Japanese is accurate. From there, instead of, "She hoisted still higher the estimate upon their country's fighting power," the Japanese version has instead, "China, still further hoisting the weapon of anti-Japanism, showed the intention that they will not

stop until the interest of the Japanese Empire is destroyed in China." That is the way it is translated, sir. So the "belligerent spirit" does not appear on the Japanese version, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: The document is obviously full of errors. We are trying to straighten out an impossible tangle. It is most undesirable to have the document split into two parts so we invite you to read exhibit 63 after it has been translated into Japanese. That is to say, so much of exhibit 63 as you think fit, Mr. Cunningham. We leave it to you. But until we have a translation of it it is useless. We can't do anything.

To enable this matter to be straightened out, we will recess now for fifteen minuts.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: In order to get the translation stærted, I'll start with the third paragraph where it says "Japan has always striven," in order to complete the thought.

"Japan has always striven to promote friendship and cooperation among the nations of East Asia, in the firm conviction that therein lies the key to the stability of that region."

THE PRESIDENT: Well, now, we're not so clear --

THE MONITOR: Are we supposed to follow that, Mr. Cunningham? We didn't know you were reading. Do you want us to read that over the IBM?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes.

THE MONITOR: Please give us notice. We didn't know that.

THE PRESIDENT: It has been read already.

Well, we all think these documents should be checked before they are read to the Court. In fact, we assumed they were checked.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, that I have to leave to someone else, your Honor, because I cannot do it myself; I don't know anything about Japanese. And, therefore, I have to take what is represented to me.

Starting with the paragraph, "Japan has always striven to promote friendship" --

THE MONITOR: You are reading from 63, are you not?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: That's right.

THE MONITOR: Mr. Cunningham, there is no Japanese translation attached to exhibit 63. May the Language Section read from exhibit 1042?

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks.

Language Section, and the defense document 1042 in Japanese is a correct translation of prosecution's exhibit 63 of this statement. The error was only made in the English of defense document 1042. That is why Mr. Cunningham is reading from exhibit 63 of the prosecution, although the translation is being made from the Japanese translation of defense document 1042, the same document which was checked over the recess by the Language Section, and they are identical.

THE PRESIDENT: If that is so, the interpreters read in Japanese all that you, Mr. Cunningham, read in English from exhibit 63.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: That took me down to "Peking" on page 12.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed from there, from reading exhibit 63, on the assumption and with the assurance that the Japanese interpreters are reading the same matter.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Reading paragraph two on page 12:

"Upon the occurrence of the Lukouchiao incident the Japanese Government, desirous of averting possible Sino-Japanese crisis, immediately formulated a policy of non-aggravation and local settlement, and devoted their best efforts towards bringing about an emicable solution, in spite of the intolerable situations that were created, one after another, by the Chinese on the spot.

"On the other hand the Nanking Government, in violation of the UMEZU-Ho agreement, moved north the vast forces under their direct command, to threaten the Japanese garrisons, and also instigated local Chinese armies against Japan. The situation was thus aggravated until a general clash between the two countries became inevitable.

Government, which employ anti-Japanism as an instrument of internal unification, had been conducting for some years a militaristic propaganda aimed at Japan, and that at the same time, by importing vast quantities of munitions, constructing fortifications, and giving intensive training to the troops, she had succeeded in building up strong armaments, so that their military men grew overconfident of their own strength and the people themselves were deluded into

putting an exaggerated estimate upon their country's fighting-power.

"A belligerent spirit towards Japan came to prevail throughout the land. Long before the present outbreak, Chinese newspapers and magazines were accustomed to call Japan the 'enemy country' and the Japanese their enemies. At the time of the Lukouchiao incident — the Nanking Government being driven to action against Japan by the internal situation they themselves had created — Japan's cautious attitude and her policy of local settlement were both doomed to utter failure.

Japanese residents, not only in North China but also in Central and South China, became exposed to imminent danger, and were compelled to evacuate en masse, abandoning the enterprises that they had toilsomely built up during long years in the past. At the same time, the Chinese in Shanghai, in contravention of the 1932 truce agreement, secretly set out to construct military works in the demilitarized zone and to perfect their war preparations. Accordingly in June last the Japanese Government made a request for a special conference of the powers concerned, and called the attention of the

Chinese Government to the matter.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"The Chinese refused to alter their attitude, and upon the outbreak of the armed conflict in North China, they moved troops into the prohibited zone in flagrant violation of the truce agreement, and finally following upon the murder of an officer and a man belonging to the Japanese landing party, on August 9, they launched an attack upon the International Settlement. While the Japanese authorities were still engaged in negotiation with the representatives of the powers concerned, in a desperate attempt to prevent hostilities with extreme patience and forbearance and bearing serious Atrategical disadvantages, the Chinese began to shell and bomb the Japanese quarter of the Settlement as well as the Japanese garrison defending it, with a view to annihilating the 30,000 Japanese residents as well as the Japanese forces, who were hopelessly outnumbered by the Chinese Army. Thereupon Japan was compelled to take counter measures in selfdefense.

"As is clear from the foregoing accounts, the fundamental cause of the aggravation of the present affair is to be found in the policy of the Nanking Government, who moved large, threatening

forces into North China in contravention of the UMEZU-Fo agreement, and also tore up the truce agreement by marching troops on the International Settlement. Japan was compelled to take up arms in self-defense, and she has chosen this opportunity to make the Nanking Government revise their attitude for the sake of the permanent peace of East Asia. Therefore, the present affair can never be settled until the Nanking Government mend their ways, abandon once for all their anti-Japanese policy, and accept Japan's policy of cooperation and collaboration between the two countries.

"It should be remembered that one of the important factors underlying Nanking's feverish agitations of more recent years against Japan is the action taken by the League of Nations at the time of the Manchurian incident. That body then adopted a resolution framed in utter disregard of the realities of the situation in East Asia, which strongly stimulated China in her anti-Japanese policy.

"Now the League has once more taken up the appeal of the Nanking Government. "ithout going fully into the real causes of the present affair, it has concluded, on the basis of false report, that

the bombing of the military works in the strongly fortified Nanking and Canton was an attack upon defenseless cities, and adopted the resolution of September 27 condemning Japan.

2

3

6

8

10

11

12

13

16

17

"Again on October 6 the General Assembly of the League not only concluded that Japan's action constituted a violation of the Anti-war Pact and the Nine-power Treaty but also adopted a resolution which openly calls for assistance to China. Such proceedings on the part of the League only fall in with the cunning scheme of the Nanking Government to exert pressure upon Japan by inviting the intervention of third powers, and serves no useful end but to encourage China in her resolve to oppose Japan to the last and to render a settlement of the affair more difficult than ever.

"It must be said that the League of Nations 18 is repeating the error that it committed only a 19 few years ago. Japan's action is a measure of self-20 defense taken in the face of China's challenge, and 21 obviously there can be no question of violation 22 of the Nine-power Treaty. Moreover, as compared 23 with the time when the treaty was concluded, the 24 situation in East Asia today has been rendered totally different, owing to the infiltration of

Communist influence and the changes of internal conditions prevailing in China.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"In any case, as regards the Conference that has been convened by the signatories of the Nine-power Treaty, it is a foregone conclusion that a majority of the participents will hold themselves bound by the above-mentioned resolutions of the League of Nations, and even if Japan took part in its deliberations, no fair and just result could ever be expected therefrom as in the case of the League of Nations meeting at the time of the Manchurian incident. Especially as this conference is to be attended by powers which are not directly interested in East Asia, it is calculated to arouse popular feeling both in Japan and China, thereby complicating the situation still further but contributing nothing towards a solution. The Japanese Government have therefore decided to decline the invitation.

"The Japanese nation, rising as one man, is united in the determination to surmount all obstacles for the purpose of effecting a speedy settlement. Japan is by no means indifferent towards international cooperation. But the Sino-Japanese difficulties can be solved only through direct ne-

gotiations between the two powers on whom fells the common burden of responsibility for the stability of East Asia. What is needed is the elimination of Nanking's anti-Japanese policy and the Communist elements which are identified with it, so that there may be established an enduring peace based upon Sino-Japanese unity and cooperation.

"Japan never looks upon the Chinese people as an enemy, nor does she harbor any territorial designs. It is rather her sincere wish to witness the material and spiritual advancement of the Chinese nation. And it is her desire to promote cultural and economic cooperation with foreign powers regarding China, while at the same time she will respect fully their rights and interests there.

"Accordingly, as soon as the powers understand the true intention of Japan, and take suitable steps to make the Nanking Government reconsider their attitude and policy, then, and only then, a way will have been paved for their cooperation with Japan respecting the settlement of the present conflict."

. 1

I now offer in evidence defense document 414 which is a statement of the Foreign Minister of Japan, Foreign Minister ARITA, delivered on January 1, 1939, and omitting the first paragraph.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution objects to the introduction of this document. It appears to be a New Year's statement issued for publicity purposes by the Foreign Minister. It is a self-serving declaration and is different from the documents admitted earlier today which were documents containing speeches made in the Diet.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I submit that this is a statement of one of the highest ranking public officials in Japan on January 1, 1939, and it certainly reflects the attitude of the government at that time and bears light upon the general issues proceded in this case. It emphasizes the perils and the evils which were confronting the previous cabinets and served as a basis for the cabinet action in previous years.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Tribunal overrules the objection and admits the document.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 414

will receive exhibit No. 2509.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2509 and received in evidence.)

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Beginning at paragraph two: "That Japan has as its final aim the establishment of a new order for ensuring a lasting peace in the Fast Asia is as was already announced by the Government. This new order aims at sweeping off the old idea which regarded the Orient as the colony of the Western Capitalism, and establishing a new equitable relationship based upon the International justice by amending various international principles built upon the old conception. This new order will have a close link among three nations -- Japan, Manchukuo, and China -- as its basis and, by perfecting a common defense against communism, will cut off the evil roots of subversive intrigues of the Communist Internationale. It will also, by the realization of an economic coalition, promote the welfare of the masses and will create a new culture of the East and, thus, contribute to the progress of human culture of the world at large. With this unprecedented great work before us, we are in a flame with an ardent will of accomplishing it by the

23 24

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

united efforts of our people, and we feel a boundless hope for our promising future."

I hope that's "hope." I only have "h-o." "At this time, we, the Japanese people, should express a profound feeling of gratitude toward the two anti-Comintern nations, Germany and Italy, who have a clear-cut understanding about our resolute stand against communism, and who, with an accurate cognizance of the reality existing in East Asia, take a sincere attitude to join hands with us for the up-building of peace in East Asia. It is needless to say that there still are numerous obstacles lying in the way of the establishment of a lasting peace in East Asia. The Chiang Kai-shek regime now removed to the interior of China is reorganizing its defeated armies and is still making active propaganda of continued resistance against Japan. It is obvious that we cannot attain our final aim unless we succeed in annihilating these anti-Japanese forces. It is a specially deplorable fact that some third powers are openly adopting policies of assisting the Chiang Kai-shek regime from diverse motives and, by so doing, are retarding the termination of the hostilities. We need also keep a constant vigilence against the meance of Bolshevism

24

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

which, in taking advantage of the chaotic condition prevailing now in East Asia, is conceiving subversive intrigues. Furthermore, there are Powers who, being too anxious to guard their vested rights and interests in China, ignore realities existing within the zone of military operations and make unreasonable demands, or who are apt to meet the new situation that will arise after the Incident with their old conception inconsistent with the new state of affairs. In view of such a situation, we keenly feel the need of making greater efforts than ever for correcting the understanding of the third nations and adjusting international relations.

"Taking this occasion of entering upon the third year of the Incident, I wish to offer my congratulations for the commencement of the task of creating a new order which aims at establishing a lasting peace in East Asia as well as to pray for its prosperous future. I also wish to express, together with the whole nation, an immutable resolution to carry out at all costs the work for the restoration of East Asia, keeping in mind the importance of the duties imposed upon us for the execution of this great task."

I now introduce defense document No. 1078,

which is a chronological review, for the convenience of the Tribunal, of the events beginning with the Tatsu Maru Incident and extending over a period of years to show the anti-Japanese attitude of the neighbors whose friendship Japan was attempting to develop along with the Western and Asiatic countries. The events which are described will save much time in presenting intensively what is expressed very briefly in defense document No. 1078.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, it is submitted that this document has no probative value. It is a document compiled, as the certificate shows, by the Osaka Chamber of Commerce and Industry. That, of course, is not a government authority, and it may be fairly assumed that the Chamber was concerned with its commercial interests. I should point out that the certificate shows the document was published in English, and, no doubt, that was for the purposes of foreign distribution.

It is also submitted, if it please the Tribunal, that the document is irrelevant on the ground that anti-Japanese activities cannot justify anything with which this trial is concerned.

THE PRESIDENT: It looks like a summation

of evidence that should be given otherwise than by the Osaka Chamber of Commerce. Some of the matters may be in evidence already; I don't know. I haven't read it.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: The intention is for the purpose of saving us both time, so I just suggest it for what it might be worth.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I next present defense document No. 329, a statement found in the Japanese Foreign Office, which shows that the anti-Japanese feeling was a kind of disease which came out in epidemic form as the nationalist idea developed in China; that the fever began back in 1919 and during the life of treaties which were intended to protect Japanese interests.

THI PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this document was made in the year 1919, and it is submitted that that fact alone makes the evidence too remote to be of any assistance to the Tribunal. It is also submitted that an examination of the contents will show that the document is of no value and is also irrelevant.

THE PRESIDENT: Of course, Japanese conduct after 1928 could be explained, if not justified, by Chinese conduct before then.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: This is introduced for the purpose of showing a little background which gives the basis, more or less, for the development of the attitude which later caused serious conflict between the two nations.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: This is defense document.

No. 215 which is now offered. It is an official report of the Japanese Foreign Office which shows that the anti-Japanese feeling was generated among students, reactionaries in Peking. This is also background for material which will later be introduced to show how the anti-Japanese feeling manifested itself as years went on. It also shows the Japanese reluctance even then to arouse any antagonism among the Chinese.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is a consular report of the year 1919, and the objections to the admission of the previous document apply with equal force to this document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: No comment.

THE PRESIDENT: I think this is covered by the preceding ruling, but I am not sure. My colleagues may think differently, so I will take their opinion.

By a majority, the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: By defense document No. 214, which is now offered in evidence, we expect to show that the feeling toward Japanese competition was aggravated by the cooperation of business interests of British and American. The purpose of the document is to show

CHALL F

...

that the basis of the feeling was economic primarily.

This is a Foreign Office document which office was charged with the regulation diplomatically of such matters.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this document is in precisely the same position as the preceding two documents. In addition, may I suggest to the Tribunal respectfully that the whole matter of Chinese boycott has been fully covered in the Lytton Report, which was read to the Tribunal on Friday and today. In addition--

THE PRESIDENT: This is an allegation of a British and American boycott of Japanese goods, in the opinion of the Chinese. And then it is only a matter of rumor, apparently.

It seems to be covered by earlier adverse decisions, Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: On the matter which the Brigadier has mentioned about the effect of the Lytton Report on the boycott matter, if the prosecution, of course, wishes to suggest that the Lytton Report adjudicates this matter and decides that the anti-Japanese feeling and boycott was one of the underlying causes of the Sino-Japanese conflict, then there would

not be any necessity of offering these documents. 1 THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal upholds the 2 objection and rejects the document. 3 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Defense document No. 215 5 is now offered. THE PRESIDENT: 217. MR. CUNNINGHAM: 217. Lefense document 7 No. 217 is offered as the Japanese official record of the growth of the anti-Japanese feeling, being 9 aggravated by British and American nationals. 10 THE PRESIDENT; Brigadier Quilliam. 11 BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: Nay it please the 12 Tribunal, this document is objected to on the same 13 grounds as the previous one. 14 THE PRESIDENT: That seems to be covered by 15 the earlier decisions, Mr. Cunningham. 16 The Tribunal upholds the objection and 17 rejects the document. 18 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Now we get into another 19 category of documents. 20 For the purpose of showing that the anti-21 foreign attitude in China began again in 1927, we offer 22 now defense document No. 431, which is a report of the conditions ceveloping in Hankow on 6 January 1937 --

1927. It will be shown that the British adopted measures

to protect their interests in the same manner as the Japanese Government protected its nationals.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution objects to this document being introduced. It is a newspaper article published in 1927 and, it is submitted, has no probative value. It merely reflects the rumors that have been received by a newspaper correspondent. In addition, it appears to be concerned with anti-English activities, and therefore, is irrelevant.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, the matter is between the Chinese and the English the Japanese don't come into.

The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Defense document No. 511 is offered to show that negotiation of differences was the policy of the Japanese whenever possible, and the Chinese were expressing the same view. The Hankow Incident of defense document 431 is rentioned here as an example of the force being applied by the Chinese to enforce the anti feeling. This document 511 is offered to show this attitude.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam. BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the

24 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

to protect their interests in the same manner as the Japanese Government protected its nationals.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution objects to this document being introduced. It is a newspaper article published in 1927 and, it is submitted, has no probative value. It merely reflects the rumors that have been received by a newspaper correspondent. In addition, it appears to be concerned with anti-English activities, and therefore is irrelevant.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, the matter between the Chinese and the English the Japanese con't come into.

The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

is offered to show that negotiation of differences was the policy of the Japanese whenever cossible, and the Chinese were expressing the same view. The Hankow Incident of defense document 431 is mentioned here as an example of the force being applied by the Chinese to enforce the anti feeling. This document 511 is offered to show this attitude.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.
BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the

Tribunal, this document was only served this morning, but if the Tribunal has copies the prosecution will make no objection on that ground.

We do object to the introduction of the document, if it please your Honor, on the ground that it is merely, like the previous cocument, a newspaper article of the year 1927, giving the views of a Mr. Sun Ko as related to a newspaper correspondent.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I might suggest that this newspaper article probably comes under different classifications than some of the others on account of the nationality of the reporter and on account of the light which this document might throw on the general situation.

THE PRESIDENT: The views of that single individual can hardly help us on any issue, or part of an issue. We have never heard of him before.

The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: We now offer defense document No. 735, an article four lines long. I can't say much about that because its contents can be easily seen from one observation.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, we object on the same grounds as before.

4 5

THE PRESIDENT: This shows the Chinese protected the Japanese.

The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Lefense document No. 737 is offered to show that the incidents against Japanese interests and nationals happened in different areas and was not a localized matter. The report here offered tells of an attack by fifty Chinese soldiers against a Japanese steamer.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution objects on the same ground.

THE PRESILENT: The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Now I offer defense document No. 428, excerpts from the Tokyo Asahai newspaper of March 26, 1927, reporting the outrages committed by the Chinese armies during the famous Nanking Incident. The Tribunal is no doubt aware that as a result of this incident, British troops were sent to Nanking in order to protect her nationals.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution objects on the same grounds as in the case of the previous documents.

vation of documents of this kind which just throw one element of proof into the case, you cannot paint a picture with one swish of the brush, and it is necessary to submit bit by bit of evidence which paint an ultimate picture.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

Mh. CUNNINGHAM: We now offer defense document No. 872 which sets out the regulations for punishing persons who failed to comply with the anti-Japanese boycott regulations and an official document of the Japanese Foreign Office.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

 bunal, the prosecution objects to this document being introduced. In the first place there is nothing to show its authenticity; nothing to show its source. The fact that this document is held in the Japanese Foreign Office doesn't establish that it is a genuine Chinese document. Further, it is suggested that it is too remote, going back to the year 1928.

If it please the Tribunal, it was not my intention to suggest to the Tribunal that they were bound by the Lytton Report in connection with boycott matters at all.

THE PRESIDENT: I am sure vcu. didn't, Brigadier, but you did suggest we might adopt their conclusions.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: If it pleases the Tribunal, the point I endeavored to make just a few moments ago, and which I want to make in connection with this document, is that the introduction of evidence of this kind, in view of the compilation of evidence in the Lytton Report, constitutes unnecessary repetition.

The prosecution also objects on the ground that all or any evidence with regard to boycotting is irrelevant.

Mr. CUNNINGHAM: I suggest that this document is within the age of the Indictment, and it bears upon

one of the underlying causes of the difficulties between China and Japan, and it certainly is relevant and certainly should be accepted for what it is worth on the same standards as many of the prosecution's documents.

THE PRESIDENT: The boycott may be relevant, but this isn't the way to prove it.

The objection is upheld and the document rejected, by a majority.

No. 952, being a note from the Japanese Charge d'Affaires in China to the Japanese Foreign Minister dated 24 November 1928, which is an official document of the Japanese Foreign Office reporting on the Japanese goods registrations act and other anti-Japanese activities in China.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this document is different in one respect from
the previous document because it is a report to the
Foreign Minister from a charge d'affairs, but in all
other respects the reasons urged by me with respect to
the preceding document apply, it is submitted, to this
document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: This document, your Honor, has none of the attributes which other documents which have been rejected have possessed, but this document

is an official document of the Foreign Office which 1 2 reflects the views of the official on the spot to his 3 home government, and certainly bears light on this controversy. THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal overrules the objection and admits the document.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 952 will receive exhibit No. 2510.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2510 and received in evidence.)

MR. CUNNINGHAM: (Reading) "No. 1264. November 24, 1928.

"From: HOKI, Yoshitaka, the Charge d'Affaires ad interim to China.

"To: Baron TANAKA, Giichi, the Foreign Minister.

"Report on Japanese Goods Registration Act, Anti-Japanese Slogans, etc.

"Relating to my telegram No. 1590, I am sending you for reference one copy each of the following documents hereto attached:

"1. The Regulations for Registration of Japanese Goods of Each Store in the Special City in Peiping, made public on the 12th November by the

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

2

4 5

6

9

10

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

21 22

23

24

Anti-Japan Society here.

"2. Translation of the Regulations for Punishment of 'Chien-min' (T.N. wicked people), distributed by the National Anti-Japan Society.

"3. Translation of Anti-Japanese Slogans announced by the Anti-Japan Propaganda Commission on the 13th this month. Copies of this note to be sent to: Nanking, Shanghai, Tientsin.

"The Regulations for Registration of Japanese Goods of Each Shop in the Special City in Peiping.

"Article 1. The present Regulations are enacted according to 'Main Principles of the Plans for Breaking-off of Economic Intercourse with Japan' distributed by the National Anti-Japan Society.

"Article 2. The present Regulations shall be applicable to Japanese goods which arrived at each shop in this city prior to 15th November and have not yet been sold yet.

"Article 3. Japanese goods which have already arrived and have not yet been sold, shall be divided into two kinds, namely 'Relatively Prohibited Goods' and 'Absolutely Prohibited Goods.'

"(A) Relatively Prohibited Goods:

"Japanese goods for culture, transportation and medicine; and various materials produced in Japan

which are indispensable for manufacturing in our country and for the livelihood of our people, and for which suitable articles cannot be substituted for the time being.

"(B) Absolutely Prohibited Goods:

"All goods other than Relatively Prohibited
Goods are prohibited without exception.

"Article 4. Japanese goods which have already arrived at each shop in this city and have not yet
been sold will be registered at the Anti-Japan Society
from November 15 to November 30, and two (2) register
lists will be made, of which one is to be kept at the
Society and the other at each shop for reference.

"Article 5. In case unregistered Japanese goods have been found after the term of registration has expired, they will immediately be confiscated. For absolutely prohibited goods, a transit and consumption pass shall be valid until the end of this year according to the lunar calendar, but not good at the expiration of the term.

"Article 6. Absolutely Prohibited Goods shall be examined at the Investigation Section of the Commission for Breaking off Economic Intercourse, where a certificate shall be issued for them. Each merchant shall report himself with the certificate to the

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

'Save-the-Nation Fund' Commission of the Anti-Japan Society and pay the Save-the-Nation Fund at the following rate ad valorem:"

THE PRESIDENT: Need you read --

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I will omit --

THE PRESIDENT: They range from ten per cent to ninety per cent.

Mr. CUNNINGHAM: I didn't hear a word--

THE PRESIDENT: It must be out of order because I didn't speak low: They range from ten per cent to ninety per cent.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I was going to say I will skip down to the middle of page 5.

THE PRESIDENT: Continue the reading of this tomorrow.

We will adjourn until half past nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Tuesday, 29 April 1947, at 0930.)